



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/674,637	09/30/2003		Nikhil Awasthi	502064-A-01US (Awasthi)	4696	
7	590	08/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Richard C. Woodbridge				CONTEE, JOY	CONTEE, JOY KIMBERLY	
Woodbridge &						
P.O. Box 592				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Princeton, NJ 08542				2686		
				DATE MAILED: 08/16/200	DATE MAILED: 08/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exercision of time may be available under the provision of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed Exercision of time may be available under the provision of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed Exercision of time and you available under the provision of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply separative of the provision of the period of th		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Joy K Contex Joy K Contex 2886		10/674,637	AWASTHI ET AL.					
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Esternisor of time may be available under the provisors of ST CRT 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be finely filed I the period for reply specified above to less than tixty (30 days, a reply within the stability miletum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above the transition staticary period vall page and vall expire SIX (MATH) from the maining date of this communication. Failure to reply within the act or estendice period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (SS U.S.C.§ 133). From the period for reply specified above. the maining date of this communication, event if the maining date of this communication and patent form adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b). Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2003. Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) The precipied of the provided patent on the drawing(s) be held in abovance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.21(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Prio	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - and SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - if the period for reply regical date on its less than thirty (30) days, a reply when the statutory initiatism of thirty (80) days will be considered timely. - if the period for reply regical date on its less than thirty (30) days, a reply when the statutory initiatism of thirty (80) days will be considered timely. - if the period for reply regical date is less than thirty (30) days, a reply when the statutory initiatism of thirty (80) days will be considered timely. - if the period for reply regical date is less than thirty (30) days, a reply when the statutory initiatism of thirty (80) days will be considered timely. - if the period for reply regical date of this communication. - if the period for reply regical date of this communication. - if the period for reply regical date of this communication. - if the period for reply regical date of this communication. - if the period of the reply (80) days will be considered timely. - if the period of the communication of the period of the demandacion. - Application Papers - Spill The specification is objected to by the Examiner. - Application Papers - Profit by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 - 11		-						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3°CFR 1.13(a), In no event, however, may a reply be fimely filed after SIX (8) MCMTRS from the mailing date of this communication, and the second of the communication of of the communi	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2003. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Watchmant(e)** **Watchmant(e)** **Watchmant(e)** **Di Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) **Di Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) ☐ Other:	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Matchment(s)** Motion of References Clied (PTO-892)	Status							
3	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Se	ptember 2003.						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **National Data (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date (PTO-142) or PTO-152 by Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) by Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO	, <u> </u>	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
Application of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Natichment(s)** 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Toritsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) Notice of Toritsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Toritsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Notice of Toritsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Toritsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)								
A) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No, 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Nation** **Nation**Interview Summary (PTO-113)	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filled on 30 September 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) □ Other:	Disposition of Claims							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) D) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/30/03. * Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) B) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) D) ☐ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) D) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/30/03. * Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) By Dother:	Application Papers							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/30/03. Other:	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/30/03. Other:								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	Attachment(s)							
	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/30/03.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te					

Application/Control Number: 10/674,637

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Anupam et al. (Anupam), U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2005/0048981.

Regarding claims 1-18, Anupam discloses a method for reconnecting a dropped telephone connection between a calling party and a called party, said telephone connection having been established by a telephone call having been initially placed by the calling party to a primary number of the called party and subsequently rerouted by a telephony server to an auxiliary number assigned to the called party, thereby establishing an inbound call from the calling party to the server and an outbound call from the server to the called party, said method comprising the steps of: monitoring the status of the inbound call; monitoring the status of the outbound call; detecting the situation where the status of the inbound call is active and the status of the outbound call is dropped; and, attempting to reestablish the telephone connection with the called party should

Application/Control Number: 10/674,637

Art Unit: 2686

said situation exceed a predetermined period of time (see pages 1-3, [0008-0020].

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Burritt et al., U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2004/0235509, discloses dropped call continuation.

Preece, U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2005/009521, discloses obtaining service when in a no-coverage area of a communication system.

Brooks et al., U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2002/0090947, discloses an automatic reconnect of dropped calls.

McKay, U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2002/0187788 discloses a mobile communications system.

Malackowski et al., U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2004/0005874 disclsoes a method of providing information to a telephony subscriber.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joy K Contee whose telephone number is 571.272.7906. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on 571.272.7905.

Art Unit: 2686

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JC

07/25/05